

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/749,645	12/27/2000	Eric Thomas Gohr	8CL-59898CL-5989	4924
75	590 02/13/2003			
Frank A. Smith			EXAMINER	
General Electric One Plastics Av	venue		SZEKELY,	PETER A
Pittsfield, MA	01201		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1714	
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/2003	1 /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			45	}			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/749,645	GOHR ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Peter Szekely	1714				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati or Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT naions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, it reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a realtion.  ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>07 January 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)	★ This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌 Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice ion of Claims	allowance except for formal matt under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to the merits is . 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
-	Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-17 is/are pending	in the application.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w						
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-17 is/are rejected.						
7)	') ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
,	The specification is objected to by the Ex						
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)						
	Applicant may not request that any objection	* ' '					
11)[_]	The proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.				
40) 🖂 -	If approved, corrected drawings are require	•					
	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner.					
	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for t	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu						
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of th application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office action for</li> </ol>	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for do	•					
	The translation of the foreign langua						
Attachment	•						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper I	48) 5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1714

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1-12 and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogoe et al. 5,663,280, Ogoe et al. 5,041,479 or Buysch et al. 5,339,600, in view of Mark et al. 4,130,530.
- 3. All references have been discussed previously. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to add the cyclic siloxane of Marks et al. to the composition of the primary references, in order to depress the melt viscosity without lowering the impact strength.

## Response to Arguments

- 4. During the previous actions the examiner misread the Marks et al. reference.

  Applicants are absolutely right; the addition of cyclic polysiloxane does not improve the impact strength of the polycarbonate. However, said addition reduces the melt viscosity without loss of impact strength. See column 1, lines 45-51, of Marks et al. This makes fabrication of polycarbonate articles easier. Accordingly, the Declaration of Mr. Singh does not address the focal point of the instant rejection. The rejection is maintained. Since the rationale of this rejection has been change due to this examiner's error, the rejection will not be made final. The examiner regrets the error.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Application/Control Number: 09/749,645 Page 3

Art Unit: 1714

examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is 703-308-2460. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 7:00 a.m.-5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 703-306-2777. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Peter Szekely Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

P.S. February 11, 2003